
Francesco SANNINO

EC441 Microeconomics for MRes Students - Group 1 No. of responses = 6





1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation


This survey requests your feedback on two separate aspects of your learning experience, split into three sections. The first section asks for your views on your teacher's class/seminar teaching. The second section (overleaf) asks for your views on the course as a whole *at this point in time*. Finally, the third section asks for some information about you, to aid analysis.

Histogram

Scale

Your responses will be used to identify areas of good practice. They will also be used to help improve the teaching and learning experience for you and your peers. Please complete the survey thoughtfully and constructively, marking one box for each question unless indicated otherwise.

Please rate your class/seminar teacher on the points below using the following scale: (1 – very good, 2 – good, 3 – average, 4 – poor, 5 – very poor, not applicable)





1.10) Please write below aspects of this teacher's class/seminar teaching that you think could be improved and/or aspects that were particularly helpful.

- Good TA. Unforknotely, Here is strong discrepacy better the governor better to closes)

- Doesn't give personal peedback on Psets. No point heading the in.


- Ajot for Het, very gold.

It's not Soninos fault but the problem sets need to match the material botto.

Sarino is very dear in explanation other.

2. Course Evaluation

2.1) How do you rate the reading list(s) provided for this course?



n=4 av.=2.3 dev.=0.5 ab.=1

2.2)	How many hours of independent study do you put into this course each week?							
			33.3%	n=6				
		6-10 hours		50%				
		2-5 hours		16.7%				
		Less than 2 hours		0%				
		None		0%				
		Not applicable		0%				
2.3)	How useful have you found the Moodle and/or any other course materials?	Very Good	50% 25% 25% 0% 0%	Very Poor	n=4 av.=1.8 dev.=1			
2.4)	How satisfied have you been with this course content as a whole to date?	Very Satisfied	0% 66.7% 16.7% 16.7% 0%	Not At All Satisfied	n=6 av.=2.5 dev.=0.8			
2.5)	How satisfied have you been with the lectures as a whole to date?	Very Satisfied	16.7% 50% 16.7% 16.7% 0%	Not At All Satisfied	n=6 av.=2.3 dev.=1			
2.6)	How satisfied have you been with the integration of classes with lectures?	Very Satisfied	0% 33.3% 0% 50% 16.7%	Not At All Satisfied	n=6 av.=3.5 dev.=1.2			
2.7)	How satisfied have you been with the amount of contact time with teachers for this course?	Very Satisfied	50% 33.3% 0% 16.7% 0%	Not At All Satisfied	n=6 av.=1.8 dev.=1.2			
2.8)	How satisfied are you that the feedback received on this course will be helpful in preparing you for the final course assessment?	Very Satisfied	50% 33.3% 0% 16.7% 0%	Not At All Satisfied	n=6 av.=1.8 dev.=1.2			
2.9)	Would you recommend this course to other students	 ?						
	,	Yes		50%	n=6			
		Maybe (50%				
		No	J	0%				
				 				

2.10) Please write below what you think could be improved about this course as a whole and/or aspects of the course that have been particularly positive.

Align lectures and classes content

0%

3.1) Which category of student are you?		
First Year Undergraduate	0%	n=6
Second Year Undergraduate	0%	
Third Year Undergraduate	0%	
General Course	0%	
Intercollegiate	0%	
Certificate	0%	
Diploma	0%	
Masters	0%	
Socrates or Erasmus	0%	
Research	100%	
Other	0%	
Which of the reasons given below describe why you are taking the course? (Please select all that a		
It is compulsory for my degree programme	100%	n=6
I am interested in the subject matter	0%	
It was recommended to me by other students	0%	
It was recommended to me by a tutor	0%	

Other

3. Your Information

13.03.2017 EvaSys evaluation Page 4

Profile

Subunit:

EC - Economics

Name of the instructor:

Francesco SANNINO

Name of the course: (Name of the survey)

EC441 Microeconomics for MRes Students - Group 1

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. Class/Seminar Teacher Evaluation

1.1)	Spoken English	Very Good		Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.0
1.2)	Skill at involving members of the class	Very Good		Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.2
1.3)	Clarity in presenting course material	Very Good		Very Poor	n=5	av.=1.8
1.4)	Made the classes intellectually stimulating for you	Very Good	—	Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.3
1.5)	Communicated a structure to the topic of each class meeting	Very Good		Very Poor	n=6	av.=1.8
1.6)	Provided helpful feedback on your work/performance	Very Good		Very Poor	n=4	av.=2.5
1.7)	Improved your understanding of the course material	Very Good	 	Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.0
1.8)	Please rate your own contribution to classes/ seminars using the same scale	Very Good		Very Poor	n=6	av.=2.5
1.9)	In general, how satisfied have you been so far with the class teaching on this course by this teacher?	Very Satisfied	 	Not Very Satisfied	n=6	av.=2.0

2. Course Evaluation

